PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

HOIL	PATENT COOP	PERATION TREATY	
anslation intern	P	PCT	, , <u> </u>
INTERN	ATIONAL PRELIM	INARY EXAMINATION	REPORT
	(PCT Articl	e 36 and Rule 70)	-
Applicant's or agent's file reference C1-A0313P2	FOR FURTHER A	ACTION See Notification of Preliminary Examination	Transmittal of Inter on Report (Form PCT/IPE
International application No. PCT/JP2003/013123		late (day/month/year) Priority of 03 (14.10.2003)	ate (day/month/year)
International Patent Classification (IPC C12N 15/09, C07K 16/18,	C) or national classification a	and IPC	
C121V 13/09, C07K 10/18,	A01K 39/393, A01F //00	o, 31/12, 33/00, 3//00	
Applicant	CITICALORDAN	V/ A DV/QV/V// V/ A V/AVV A	
	CHUGAI SEIYAKU	KABUSHIKI KAISHA	
This international preliminary and is transmitted to the applie	examination report has been	n prepared by this International Pre	liminary Examining Auth
and is transmitted to the application. This REPORT consists of a to			
		_	ndla dan ta a 1111
amended and are the ba	nipanted by ANNEXES, i.e. is for this report and/or she of the Administrative Instruc	, sheets of the description, claims a ets containing rectifications made ctions under the PCT).	nd/or drawings which hat before this Authority (s
	of a total of	•	
This report contains indication	s relating to the following it	ems:	
I Basis of the re	port		
II Priority			
III Non-establish	nent of opinion with regard	to novelty, inventive step and indu	strial applicability
IV Lack of unity	of invention		
V Reasoned state citations and e	ement under Article 35(2) wi xplanations supporting such	ith regard to novelty, inventive step statement	or industrial applicabilit
VI Certain docum	ents cited		
VII Certain defects in the international application			
VIII Certain observ	ations on the international ap	pplication	
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report	
22 April 2005 (22	.04.2005)		05 (12.10.2005)
		12 0000001 20	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA	/JP	Authorized officer	
		}	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/013123

I. Basis of the report	
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*	
the international application as originally filed	
the description:	
pages	, as originally filed
pages	, filed with the demand
pages, filed with the letter of	
the claims:	
Pages .	, as originally filed
pages, as amended (together	with any statement under Article 19
pages	
pages, filed with the letter of	
the drawings:	an autoticallia Citat
pages	
pages filed with the letter of	, filed with the demand
pages, filed with the letter of	
the sequence listing part of the description:	
pages	, as originally filed
pages	, filed with the demand
pages, filed with the letter of	
 With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language 	Authority in the language in which which is:
the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rul	e 23.1(b)).
the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	
the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary or 55.3).	examination (under Rule 55.2 and/
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the internation preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: 	onal application, the international
contained in the international application in written form.	
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not international application as filed has been furnished.	go beyond the disclosure in the
The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical t been furnished.	o the written sequence listing has
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
the description, pages	
the claims, Nos.	
the drawings, sheets/fig	
This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, sind beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	ce they have been considered to go
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitate in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not and 70.17).	
** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annex	ed to this report.
	-

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP 03/13123

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Box III.1

Claims 20 and 36

Claims 20 and 36 set forth inventions that are related to methods for the treatment of the human body by means of therapy.

International application No. PCT/JP 03/13123

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Box IV.3

The claims of the present invention include:

- (1) inventions related to a "bispecific antibody with an activity that substitutes for the ligand function of receptors that include heteromolecules," which are set forth in claims 2 to 19, 21 and 22; and
- (2) inventions related to a "bispecific antibody that is capable of recognizing both an enzyme and the substrate of said enzyme," which are set forth in claims 23 to 35, 37 and 38.

Therein, the only feature that is common to these inventions is the feature of being a bispecific antibody (i.e. a dual-specific antibody). However, dual-specific antibodies were well known prior to the filing of the present application, as presented in documents 1 and 2 indicated below; thus, said feature cannot be said to be a special technical feature in the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2. As a result, the inventions in question cannot be considered to be so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, and consequently, the claims of the present application have been found to include two inventions.

Document 1: J. Immunol., Vol. 150, No. 10, pp. 4610 to 4619, 1993

Document 2: J. Immunol. Methods, Vol. 248, No. 1-2, pp. 1 to 6, 2001

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP 03/13123

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement .			
Novelty (N)	Claims	5-19, 21-35, 37, 38	YES
	Claims	1-4	NO NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	23-35, 37, 38	YES
	Claims	1-19, 21, 22	_ NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-19, 21-35, 37, 38	_ YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Document 1: J. Immunol., Vol. 150, No. 10, pages 4610 to 4619, 1993

Document 2: J. Immunol. Methods, Vol. 279, No. 1-2, pages 219 to 232, August 2003

Document 3: J. Immunol. Methods, Vol. 267, No. 2, pages 213 to 226, 2002

Document 4: J. Immunol. Methods, Vol. 248, No. 1-2, pages 1 to 6, 2001

Document 5: J. Immunol. Methods, Vol. 248, No. 1-2, pages 7 to 15, 2001

Document 6: Gene, Vol. 196, No. 1-2, pages 279 to 286, 1997

Claims 1 to 4

The inventions set forth in claims 1 to 4 lack novelty and do not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1 cited in the international search report.

Document 1 indicates that bispecific antibodies capable of bonding to the α chain and the β chain of the human IL-2 receptor were able to synergistically control IL-2 induced T cell proliferation. In addition, document 1 further indicates that IL-2 is one type of cytokine, and that the ligands thereof include both agonists and antagonists.

Claim 1

The invention set forth in claim 1 lacks novelty and does not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 2 to 5 cited in the international search report.

Documents 2 and 3 indicate that bispecific antibodies capable of bonding to two receptors that have different VEGFs (e.g. KDR and Flt-1) were able to control the VEGF-induced migration of leukaemia cells.

Meanwhile, document 4 presents general information pertaining to therapeutic agents against cancer, which comprise bispecific antibodies that are capable of bonding to cancer antigens (e.g. EGF receptor-associated cancer antigens, HER2 antigens or prostate-specific cancer antigens (PSA)), and indicates that recombinant antibodies were obtained by using a phage display library and selecting a scFv that is capable of bonding to a desired antigen.

Furthermore, document 5 presents therapeutic agents against cancer, which comprise bispecific antibodies that are capable of bonding to two types of receptors (e.g. c-Mpl and HER3).

Claims 5 to 19, 21 and 22

The inventions set forth in claims 5 to 19, 21 and 22 do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1, 4 and 6 cited in the international search report.

Document 1 indicates that bispecific antibodies capable of bonding to the α chain and the β chain of the human IL-2 receptor were able to synergistically control IL-2 induced T cell proliferation. In addition, document 1 also indicates that in addition to serving as inhibiting factors, it is also possible for the bispecific antibodies to exhibit agonist functions (refer to the final sentence

of the Discussion).

Document 4 indicates that recombinant antibodies were obtained by using a phage display library and selecting a scFv that is capable of bonding to a desired antigen when creating bispecific antibodies.

Document 6 indicates that type-I interferon receptors comprise two sub-units (IFNaR1 and IFNaR2), and presents the bonding mechanism thereof, wherein type-I interferon, which is a ligand, forms an intermediate with IFNaR2 and then said intermediate forms a ternary complex with IFNaR1.

Therefore, it would have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of creating bispecific antibodies which are capable of bonding to the two types of sub-unit within the type-I interferon receptors that are presented in document 6 instead of the two types of sub-unit within the human IL-2 receptors that are presented in document 1 by means of the technique that is presented in document 4, and then selecting the antibodies that exhibit an antagonist function thereamong.

Claims 23 to 35, 37 and 38

The inventions set forth in claims 23 to 35, 37 and 38 are novel and involve an inventive step in relation to the documents that are cited in the international search report.

The documents in question do not present bispecific antibodies that are capable of recognizing both an enzyme and the substrate of said enzyme, and it would not have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of the feature in question.